

# Bayes Timeslot Utilization for Efficient Contention Access in VeMAC-VANET

<sup>1</sup>K.Selvakumaran, <sup>2</sup>K.Saravanakumar

<sup>1</sup>M.E-CSE, <sup>2</sup>AP-CSE

V.S.B. Engineering College,  
Karur, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>1</sup>[selvakumaranrk@gmail.com](mailto:selvakumaranrk@gmail.com), <sup>2</sup>[saravanakumar.mtech@gmail.com](mailto:saravanakumar.mtech@gmail.com)

**Abstract**— Vehicular ad hoc networks (VANETs) are high priority safety applications that need medium access control (MAC) protocol for efficient broadcast service. The existing work presented VeMAC, a novel multi channel TDMA MAC protocol for VANET supports efficient one-hop and multi-hop broadcast services on control channel using implicit acknowledgments and eliminating hidden terminal.

The drawbacks of existing work allow a node to reserve only one slot in an information frame. Once a node has reserved a slot it ceases contending for other slots.

The proposed work presented a Bayes Timeslot Utilization Scheme for improved contention access on control channel in VeMAC. Nodes are allowed to contend for more than one slot in a reservation frame according to priority criteria. The advantages of proposed work allow nodes to reserve a slot adaptively in the information frame as per priority. Simulation methodology is adopted to eliminate the artificial boundary effect of VeMAC.

**Index Terms**— Ad hoc network, Media Access Control (MAC) protocol, Five-Phase Reservation Protocol (FPRP), Contention Slot.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A mobile ad hoc network (MANET) consists of a number of mobile terminals connected with wireless links and is independent from any fixed infrastructure. MANETs can be established quickly and moved flexibly and therefore have wide applications in various types of communication, such as military and emergency. The media access control (MAC) protocol, which provides channel access control

mechanisms to coordinate multiple nodes in a network, is an important part of ad hoc networks.

To date, many time division multiple access (TDMA)-based MAC protocols have been proposed. There are reservation-based protocols, such as the five-phase reservation protocol (FPRP) and the hop reservation multiple access (HRMA) protocol.

The reservation-based TDMA protocols can be classified into two categories: fixed allocation and dynamic allocation. Fixed allocation protocols make slot assignments at the scale of the whole network. They do not have the conflict problem but are not suitable for networks with dynamically changing topologies. In contrast, dynamic allocation protocols, such as FPRP, HRMA, evolutionary-TDMA (E-TDMA), and DRAND, use distributed algorithms to assign slots by coordinating nearby nodes. FPRP is a fully-distributed protocol with a low probability of conflict. Using dynamic slot assignments, FPRP has many advantages, such as being scalable with the network size, suitable for changing topology, and insensitive to node mobility. These merits make FPRP a very promising MAC layer protocol for MANETs.

In this algorithm, nodes compete for different time slots in a reservation cycle, making the number of transmission nodes in each slot nearly equal. In the authors modified the reservation mechanism to take into account different levels of urgency of the traffic. After the slot reservation cycle is completed, every node will maintain a table of slot assignments, which specifies which slots have been acquired by neighbor nodes. When the next reservation cycle starts, all nodes will contend for slots based on the prior information in the table, thereby improving the spatial channel utilization.

An improved FPRP algorithm was proposed, in which nodes use different initial probabilities for contention, according to different traffic loads. In this improved FPRP, the node that receives the collision report will check the report to decide whether it should continue to contend or stop immediately.

An improved FPRP (I-FPRP) protocol applying the pseudo-Bayesian broadcast algorithm is subsequently proposed and theoretically analyzed in section III. In section IV, we investigate various performance metrics in detail and critically study the pros and cons of FPRP and I-FPRP via simulation results.

### II. RELATED WORK

The VeMAC framework is developed by Hassan Aboubakr Omar *et al.*, (2011) supports efficient one-hop and multi-hop broadcast services on the control channel by using implicit acknowledgments and eliminating the hidden terminal problem. The protocol reduces transmission collisions due to node mobility on the control channel by assigning disjoint sets of time slots to vehicles moving in opposite directions and to road side units. Analysis and simulation results in highway and city scenarios are presented to evaluate the performance of VeMAC and compare it with ADHOC MAC, an existing TDMA MAC protocol for VANETs. It is due to its ability to decrease the rate of transmission collisions, the VeMAC protocol can provide significantly higher throughput on the control channel than ADHOC MAC.

Location Division Multiple Access (LDMA) scheme is designed by R.Mangharam *et al.*, (2007) to suppress the broadcast storm problem and ensure bounded end-to-end delay across multiple hops. This scheme requires participating vehicles to time synchronize with the GPS time and receive the regional map definitions consisting of spatial cell resolutions and temporal slot schedules via an out-of-band FM/RDBS control channel. We use the Groove Net vehicular network virtualization platform with realistic mobility, car-following and congestion models to evaluate the performance of LDMA in simulation and on the road.

This paper is developed by J.W. Wang *et al.*, (1999) probability that  $m$  out of  $n$  boxes each have exactly one ball resulting from distributing  $k$  balls into  $n$  boxes. The solution to this problem is given by a set of recursive expressions. By translating these formulae into a computer program, one can easily obtain the numerical results.

Our model is designed by M.I. Hassan *et al.*, (2004) validated using extensive simulations and we show that our model yields better predictive accuracy than other existing models. The model is then used to investigate the performance of a modified DCF that uses a fixed number of sequential retransmissions to improve the reliability of packet delivery. We find that with sequential retransmissions, the PDR improves at low vehicle density (i.e. low traffic load), but degrades at heavy loads where higher collisions induced by the retransmissions outweighs the benefit of repeated attempts.

### III. BAYES TIMESLOT UTILIZATION FOR EFFICIENT CONTENTION ACCESS IN VeMAC-VANET

The protocol reduces transmission collisions due to node mobility on the control channel by assigning disjoint sets of time slots to vehicles moving in opposite directions and to road side units.




Fig 1 Architecture Diagram of Bayes Timeslot Utilization for Efficient Contention Access in VeMAC-VANET

Analysis and simulation results in highway and city scenarios are presented to evaluate the performance of VeMAC and compare it with ADHOC MAC, an existing TDMA MAC protocol for VANETs. It is shown that, due to its ability to decrease the rate of transmission collisions, the VeMAC protocol can provide significantly higher throughput on the control channel than ADHOC MAC.

FPRP is proposed, in which nodes are allowed to contend for more than one slot in a reservation frame according to a certain probability/priority. Simulation results indicate that the proposed mechanism performs better than FPRP in time slot utilization and hence the network throughput under various scenarios.

Analysis and simulation results in highway and city scenarios are presented to evaluate the performance of VeMAC and compare it with ADHOC MAC, an existing TDMA MAC protocol for VANETs. It is shown that, due to its ability to decrease the rate of transmission collisions, the VeMAC protocol can provide significantly higher throughput on the control channel than ADHOC MAC.

FPRP is proposed, in which nodes are allowed to contend for more than one slot in a reservation frame according to a certain probability/priority. Simulation results indicate that the proposed mechanism performs better than FPRP in time slot utilization and hence the network throughput under various scenarios.

- VeMAC VANET Model
- Accessing Slots on Control and Service Channel
- Multi-hop Broadcast Services
- Contention Access for VeMAC-VANET
- Adaptive Slot Reservation Frame
- Bayes Timeslot Probability

#### A. VEMAC VANET MODEL

VANET consists is set of RSUs and set of vehicles moving in opposite directions on two-way vehicle traffic roads. If two vehicles are moving in opposite directions on a two-way road guaranteed that one vehicle is moving in a left direction, while the other vehicle is moving in a right one. VANET has one control channel and M service channels is used for transmission of two kinds of information and high priority short applications (such as periodic or event driven safety messages). Control information required for nodes to determine which time slots they should access on channel.

Service channels are used for transmission of safety or non-safety related application messages.

Service provider is a node announces on channel for service offered on a specific service channel. User is a node receives the announcement for a service decides to make use of this service. Each node has two transceivers; transceiver1 is tuned to channel c. Transceiver2 is tuned to any service channel c. Transmission power levels on all channels are fixed and known to all nodes. All channels are symmetric node x and node y in the communication range between themselves. Each node is identified by a MAC address and a short identifier. ID is chosen by each node at random included in the header of each packet transmitted on channel.

Each node transmits a packet during its time slot even if the node has no data to include in high priority short applications field. Information in the header, AnS and AcS fields, is necessary for other nodes to decide which time slots they can access on the control channel and service channels. Two types of transmission collision on time slots on the channel are access collision is happen when two or more nodes within two hops of each other attempt to acquire same available time slot. Merging collision happens when two or more nodes acquiring same time slot become members of the same two-hop set (THS) due to node activation or node mobility.

In VANETs merging collisions happen among vehicles moving in same direction due to acceleration or deceleration, more likely to occur among vehicles moving in opposite directions or between a vehicle and a stationary RSU.

#### B. ACCESSING SLOTS ON CONTROL AND SERVICE CHANNEL

Access time slot assignment on channel in the header of each packet transmitted. Transmitting node includes set N(y) and time slot used by each node. Short IDs in set N(y) serve to decrease the overhead compared to including MAC address of each one-hop neighbor in the header of each transmitted packet.

Suppose node is just powered on and needs to acquire a time slot, starts listening to channel successive time slots. At the end of the slots node x can determine N(x) and the time slot used by each node. Since each node announces N (i) and time slot used by each node x determine the time slot used by each of its two-hop neighbors.

Consider node  $x$  has a MAC layer service data unit (MSDU) to be delivered to a certain destination on service channel. Service refers to the delivery of an MSDU on a certain service channel.

Node  $x$  announces information in the AnS field of its next packet transmitted on channel priority of service, reliability of service (i.e. acknowledged or not), MAC address of intended destination  $y$ . Once the provider announces for the service no further action is needed unless destination accepts service.

### C. MULTI-HOP BROADCAST SERVICES

Efficient multi-hop broadcast service presented for ADHOC MAC directly supported by VeMAC on channel. Node  $x$  transmits a broadcast packet on channel  $c$ ; this packet needs to propagate throughout whole network. For each node which receives the broadcast packet as the set of one-hop neighbors of node  $i$  which did not receive the packet broadcast by node  $x$ .

When node  $I$  receives the broadcast packet from node  $x$  listens to channel for successive time slots. At the end of this duration node  $I$  determine the sets  $N(j)$ . Node  $i$  relays the packet if none of the previous three conditions is satisfied. By using this relaying procedure in most cases minimum set of relaying nodes needed to cover the whole network is selected.

### D. CONTENTION ACCESS FOR VEMAC-VANET

Contention Mechanism, because nodes are allowed to contend for two slots, a node that has reserved a slot will continue to contend at the next slot with a reasonable probability until it gets two slots. Therefore, it will still update the number of contending nodes by detecting if there is an "idle," "success," or "collision" event. Clearly, the estimated number of contention nodes in the next slot will be different from that in FPRP due to the influence of second-round contention nodes. In what follows, we will first present the new contention algorithm in I-FPRP and then describe its reasonableness.

The Multi-hop pseudo-Bayesian algorithm used in FPRP is modified from the (single-hop) pseudo-Bayesian broadcast algorithm. The original pseudo-Bayesian algorithm only performs well in single-hop ALOHA networks, whereas the multi-hop pseudo-Bayesian extends itself to multi-hop networks to obtain efficient estimation on

the number of contention nodes within two hops. Following a similar procedure, we will first analyze the improved mechanism in single-hop networks and further extend it to multi-hop networks.

### E. ADAPTIVE SLOT RESERVATION FRAME

An RS is composed of  $M$  RCs, each of which consists of a five-phase dialogue. If a node wants to reserve an IS, it contends in the RS. A slot is reserved in the RF and used in each IF until the next RF arrives to initiate the next round of reservation. In FPRP, a node that wants to make a reservation will first send a reservation request (RR) packet with probability  $p$  to its neighbors.

Two types of packets are used in the packing packet (PP) is sent by the nodes two hops away from the reservation node to inform nodes that are three hops away, and the elimination packet (EP) is sent with a probability of 0.5 to resolve a non isolated deadlock (when there are two transmission nodes within one hop, and they cannot detect each other until one EP is received from one to the other). Further, nodes can always detect that they receive zero, one (success), or more (collision) packets, so they are aware of the success or failure events in each phase of FPRP.

### F. BAYES TIMESLOT PROBABILITY

The pseudo-Bayesian algorithm assumes that the number of contention nodes during a slot can be approximated by a Poisson distribution with mean  $v$ . Moreover, each node keeps  $v$  as the best estimation for the number of contention nodes and broadcasts with probability  $p=1/v$ . To improve time slot utilization, we propose an improved contention mechanism for FPRP to allow the nodes to acquire two slots. The new mechanism keeps estimation about the number of nodes (within two hops) that contend for the second slot. Since every node can hear any successful reservation within two hops, nodes can know the number of slots reserved by other nodes within two hops.

## IV. PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performances of FPRP and the proposed I-FPRP are simulated and compared. A new simulation methodology is adopted to eliminate the artificial "boundary effect" that occurs in simulations of finite-sized networks.

The performance of the protocols will be investigated from various aspects by changing the network parameters and protocol parameters.




Fig 2 FPRP of Packet Arrival Rate and Reservation Cycle

Fig 2 shows I-FPRP adds extra reserved cycles in the remaining slots. Because nodes can contend for the second slot, with the increase of  $R_4$ , the reservation cycles will increase as a logarithm function. Although extra reserved cycles do not lead to increased time delay because the RF frame includes a constant RC number in each slot, they do result in more power consumption.




Fig 3 FPRP of Packet Arrival Rate and Contention Fairness

Figure 3 indicates that the failure ratio increases when either the traffic load, second contention probability  $R_4$ , or transmission range  $R$  increases.

## V. CONCLUSION

The contention-based reservation mechanism in FPRP and showed that slots are not fully utilized because nodes can only contend for one slot in an information frame. An improved contention access mechanism based on a pseudo-Bayesian broadcast algorithm was subsequently proposed to allow nodes to contend for more slots with certain probabilities related to their traffic demands. Theoretical and simulation results show that the proposed mechanism can significantly improve the overall slot utilization and hence the throughput of MANETs, especially for networks with low spatial node densities. More importantly, such an improvement is achieved with an acceptable increase in the signaling overhead and a marginal and manageable deterioration to the overall user fairness. We conclude that the proposed I-FPRP makes a promising improvement to FPRP for high-throughput applications.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H.A. Omar, W. Zhuang, Li Li "VeMAC: A TDMA-based MAC Protocol for Reliable Broadcast in VANETs", Mobile Hoc, 2011, pp. 190-201.
- [2] R.Mangharam, R. Rajkumar, M. Hamilton "Bounded-Latency Alerts in Vehicular Networks", University of Pennsylvania, 2007.
- [3] J.H. Wen, J.W. Wang "A recursive solution to an occupancy problem resulting from TDM radio communication application", Applied Mathematics and Computation 101(1-3), 1999.
- [4] I. Hassan, L. Vu, T. Sakurai "Performance Analysis of the IEEE 802.11 MAC Protocol for DSRC with and without Retransmissions", the University of Melbourne, 2010.
- [5] L. Kleinrock and F. Tobagi, "Packet Switching in Radio Channels: Part I – Carrier Sense Multiple-Access Modes and Their Throughput-Delay Characteristics," IEEE Trans. Communication, vol. 23, no. 12, Dec. 1975, pp. 1400-1416.
- [6] IEEE Std 802.11, "Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications," IEEE, New York, 1999.
- [7] B. Sharp, E. Grindrod, and D. Camm, "Hybrid TDMA/CSMA Protocol for Self Managing Packet Radio Networks," 4th IEEE Int. Conf. Universal Personal Communication, 1995, pp. 929-933.