



Defending against Flood Attacks in Disruption Tolerant Networks

Gayatri Rani, *B.Tech, (M.Tech)*, **K Santosh Kumar**, *B.Tech, M.Tech*

Computer Science and Engineering, Computer Science and Engineering, JNTU, Hyderabad, India

k.gayatri.r@gmail.com

ABSTRACT- *Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs) utilize the mobility of nodes and the opportunistic contacts among nodes for data communications. Due to the limitation in network resources such as contact opportunity and buffer space, DTNs are vulnerable to flood attacks in which attackers send as many packets or packet replicas as possible to the network, in order to deplete or overuse the limited network resources. In this paper, we employ rate limiting to defend against flood attacks in DTNs, such that each node has a limit over the number of packets that it can generate in each time interval and a limit over the number of replicas that it can generate for each packet. We propose a distributed scheme to detect if a node has violated its rate limits. To address the challenge that it is difficult to count all the packets or replicas sent by a node due to lack of communication infrastructure, our detection adopts claim-carry-and check: each node itself counts the number of packets or replicas that it has sent and claims the count to other nodes; the receiving nodes carry the claims when they move, and cross-check if their carried claims are inconsistent when they contact. The claim structure uses the pigeonhole principle to guarantee that an attacker will make inconsistent claims which may lead to detection. We provide rigorous analysis on the probability of detection, and evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of our scheme with extensive trace driven simulations.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs) consist of mobile nodes carried by human beings, vehicles, etc. DTNs enable data transfer when mobile nodes are only intermittently connected, making them appropriate for applications where no communication infrastructure is available such as military scenarios and rural areas. Due to lack of consistent connectivity, two nodes can only exchange data when they move into the transmission range of each other (which is called a contact between them). DTNs employ such contact opportunity for data forwarding with “store-carry-and-forward”; i.e., when a node receives some packets, it stores these packets in its buffer, carries them around until it contacts another node, and then forwards them. Since the contacts between nodes are opportunistic and the duration of a contact may be short because of mobility, the usable bandwidth which is only available during the opportunistic contacts is a limited resource. Also, mobile nodes may have limited buffer space. Due to the limitation in bandwidth and buffer space, DTNs are vulnerable to flood attacks. In flood attacks, maliciously or selfishly motivated attackers inject as many packets as possible into the network,

or instead of injecting different packets the attackers forward replicas of the same packet to as many nodes as possible. Moreover, mobile nodes spend much energy on transmitting/receiving flooded packets and replicas which may shorten their battery life. Although many schemes have been proposed to defend against flood attacks on the Internet and in wireless sensor networks, they assume persistent connectivity and cannot be directly applied to DTNs that have intermittent connectivity. We noted that the packets flooded by outsider attackers (i.e., the attackers without valid cryptographic credentials) can be easily filtered with authentication techniques. However, authentication alone does not work when insider attackers (i.e., the attackers with valid cryptographic credentials) flood packets and replicas with valid signatures. Thus, it is still an open problem to address flood attacks in DTNs.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

The dawn of new and cheap wireless networking solutions has created opportunities for networking in new situations, and for exciting new applications that use the network. With techniques such as IEEE 802.11, Bluetooth, and other radio solutions it has become viable to equip almost any device with wireless networking capabilities. Due to the ubiquity of such networking enabled devices, situations where communication is desirable can occur at any time and any place, even where no networking infrastructure is available.

[1]. In an ad hoc network, all nodes participate in the routing and forwarding of packets, so if two nodes cannot communicate directly, intermediate nodes aid in forwarding the packet between them. One of the most basic requirements for “traditional” networking, which also holds for ad hoc networking, is that there must exist a fully connected path between communication end points for communications to be possible. There are however a number of scenarios where this is not the case but where it still is desirable to allow communication between nodes. Such scenarios include communication between villages and summer camps of the Saami population of reindeer herders in the north of Sweden

[2]. living in locations where no fixed infrastructure is available. Similar problems exist between rural villages in India and other poor regions.

[3]. Other fields where this kind of communication scenarios may occur are satellite communication, military and disaster recovery operations, sensor networking and monitoring. For example, experiments have been done with attaching sensors to seals.

III. EXISTING SYSTEM

DTNs employ such contact opportunity for data forwarding with “store-carry-and-forward”; i.e., when a node receives some packets, it stores these packets in its buffer, carries them around until it contacts another node, and then forwards them. Since the contacts between nodes are opportunistic and the duration of a contact may be short because of mobility, the usable bandwidth which is only available during the opportunistic contacts is a limited resource. Also, mobile nodes may have limited buffer space. Due to the limitation in bandwidth and buffer space,

DTNs are vulnerable to flood attacks. In flood attacks, maliciously or selfishly motivated attackers inject as many packets as possible into the network, or instead of injecting different packets the attackers forward replicas of the same packet to as many nodes as possible. For convenience, we call the two types of attack packet flood attack and replica flood attack, respectively.

Problem Definition:

We consider a scenario where each node has a rate limit L on the number of unique packets that it as a source can generate and send into the network within each time interval T . The time intervals start from time $0, T, 2T$, etc. The packets generated within the rate limit are deemed legitimate, but the packets generated beyond the limit are deemed flooded by this node. To defend against packet flood attacks, our goal is to detect if a node as a source has generated and sent more unique packets into the network than its rate limit L per time interval.

Drawbacks:

1. Selfishly motivated attackers inject as many packets as possible into the network.
2. Bandwidth and buffer space, DTNs are vulnerable to flood attacks.
3. DTN's follows store-carry-and-forward.
4. Attacks generated in DTN's are the two types of attack packet flood attack and replica flood attack.

IV. OBJECTIVES

The objective of this sub-project is to develop tools and methods to support the earlier phases of systems development; for implementation independent specification and verification, and for subsequent synthesis of specifications into efficient implementations.

The sub-project is divided into four sub-tasks:

- adopt/further develop a model for formal, high-level system specification and verification.
- Demonstrate the efficacy of the developed model by applying it to a suitable part of the consortium demonstrator, the network terminal for broadband access.
- Develop a systematic method to refine the specification into synthesizable code and a prototype tool which supports the refinement process and links it to synthesis and compilation tools.

V. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this paper, we employ rate limiting to defend against flood attacks in DTNs. In our approach, each node has a limit over the number of packets that it, as a source node, can send to the network in each time interval. Each node also has a limit over the number of replicas that it can generate for each packet. The two limits are used to mitigate packet flood and replica flood attacks, respectively. If a node violates its rate limits, it will be detected and its data traffic will be filtered. In this way, the amount of flooded traffic can be controlled. Our main contribution is a technique to detect if a node has violated its rate limits. Our basic idea of detection is claim "carry-and-check".

Advantages:

1. Our main goal is a technique to detect if a node has violated its rate limit
2. The two types of attack packet flood attack and replica flood attack are detected.
3. In Proposed System DTNS follows "claim-carry-and-check".

VI. SYSTEM ANALYSIS

FEASIBILITY STUDY

Preliminary investigation examine project feasibility, the likelihood the system will be useful to the organization. The main objective of the feasibility study is to test the Technical, Operational and Economical feasibility for adding new modules and debugging old running system. All system is feasible if they are unlimited resources and infinite time. There are aspects in the feasibility study portion of the preliminary investigation:

- Technical Feasibility
- Operational Feasibility
- Economical Feasibility

6.1 ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY

A system can be developed technically and that will be used if installed must still be a good investment for the organization. In the economical feasibility, the development cost in creating the system is evaluated against the ultimate benefit derived from the new systems. Financial benefits must equal or exceed the costs.

The system is economically feasible. It does not require any addition hardware or software. Since the interface for this system is developed using the existing resources and technologies available at NIC, There is nominal expenditure and economical feasibility for certain.

6.2 OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY

Proposed projects are beneficial only if they can be turned out into information system. That will meet the organization's operating requirements. Operational feasibility aspects of the project are to be taken as an important part of the project implementation. Some of the important issues raised are to test the operational feasibility of a project includes the following: -

- Is there sufficient support for the management from the users?
- Will the system be used and work properly if it is being developed and implemented?
- Will there be any resistance from the user that will undermine the possible application benefits?

This system is targeted to be in accordance with the above-mentioned issues. Beforehand, the management issues and user requirements have been taken into consideration. So there is no question of resistance from the users that can undermine the possible application benefits.

The well-planned design would ensure the optimal utilization of the computer resources and would help in the improvement of performance status.

6.3 TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

The technical issue usually raised during the feasibility stage of the investigation includes the following:

- Does the necessary technology exist to do what is suggested?
- Do the proposed equipments have the technical capacity to hold the data required to use the new system?
- Will the proposed system provide adequate response to inquiries, regardless of the number or location of users?
- Can the system be upgraded if developed?
- Are there technical guarantees of accuracy, reliability, ease of access and data security?

Earlier no system existed to cater to the needs of 'Secure Infrastructure Implementation System'. The current system developed is technically feasible. It is a web based user interface for audit workflow at NIC-CSD. Thus it provides an easy access to the users. The database's purpose is to create, establish and maintain a workflow among various entities in order to facilitate all concerned users in their various capacities or roles. Permission to the users would be granted based on the roles specified. Therefore, it provides the technical guarantee of accuracy, reliability and security. The software and hardware requirements for the development of this project are not many and are already available in-house at NIC or are available as free as open source. The work for the project is done with the current equipment and existing software technology. Necessary bandwidth exists for providing a fast feedback to the users irrespective of the number of users using the system.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we employed rate limiting to mitigate flood attacks in DTNs, and proposed a scheme which exploits claim-carry-and-check to probabilistically detect the violation of rate limit in DTN environments. Our scheme uses efficient constructions to keep the computation, communication and storage cost low. Also, we analyzed the lower bound and upper bound of detection probability. Extensive trace-driven simulations showed that our scheme is effective to detect flood attacks and it achieves such effectiveness in an efficient way. Our scheme works in a distributed manner, not relying on any online central authority or infrastructure, which well fits the environment of DTNs. Besides, it can tolerate a small number of attackers to collude.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Fall, "A Delay-Tolerant Network Architecture for Challenged Internets," Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, pp. 27-34, 2003.
- [2] P. Hui, A. Chaintreau, J. Scott, R. Gass, J. Crowcroft, and C. Diot, "Pocket Switched Networks and Human Mobility in Conference Environments," Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, 2005.
- [3] M. Motani, V. Srinivasan, and P. Nuggehalli, "PeopleNet: Engineering a Wireless Virtual Social Network," Proc. MobiCom, pp. 243-257, 2005.
- [4] J. Burgess, B. Gallagher, D. Jensen, and B. Levine, "Maxprop: Routing for Vehicle-Based Disruption-Tolerant Networks," Proc. IEEE INFOCOM, 2006.

- [5] S.J.T.U.Grid Computing Center, "Shanghai Taxi Trace Data," <http://wirelesslab.sjtu.edu.cn/>, 2012.
- [6] J. Mirkovic, S. Dietrich, D. Dittrich, and P. Reiher, *Internet Denial of Service: Attack and Defense Mechanisms*. Prentice Hall, 2005.
- [7] C. Karlof and D. Wagner, "Secure Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks: Attacks and Countermeasures," *Proc. IEEE First Int'l Workshop Sensor Network Protocols and Applications*, 2003
- [8] E. Daly and M. Haahr, "Social Network Analysis for Routing in Disconnected Delay Tolerant MANETs," *Proc. MobiHoc*, pp. 32-40,2007.
- [9] W. Gao, Q. Li, B. Zhao, and G. Cao, "Multicasting in Delay Tolerant Networks: A Social Network Perspective," *Proc. ACM MobiHoc*, 2009.
- [10] F. Li, A. Srinivasan, and J. Wu, "Thwarting Blackhole Attacks in Distruption-Tolerant Networks Using Encounter Tickets," *Proc.IEEE INFOCOM*, 2009.