



Secure Sharing of Health Information in Cloud System Using Attribute-Based Encryption

¹ **Kancharakuntla Suchitha**, Sphoorthy Engineering College, suchithakancharakuntla@gmail.com

² **B. Venkanna**, Assistant Prof, Sphoorthy Engineering College, venkat99574@gmail.com

³ **J. Deepthi**, Associate Prof, H.O.D, Sphoorthy Engineering College, deepthi.janagama@gmail.com

Abstract: Personal health record (PHR) is an emerging patient-centric model of health information exchange, which is often outsourced to be stored at a third party, such as cloud providers. However, there have been wide privacy concerns as personal health information could be exposed to those third-party servers and to unauthorized parties. To assure the patients' control over access to their own PHRs, it is a promising method to encrypt the PHRs before outsourcing. Yet, issues such as risks of privacy exposure, scalability in key management, flexible access, and efficient user revocation, have remained the most important challenges toward achieving fine-grained, cryptographically enforced data access control. In this paper, we propose a novel patient-centric framework and a suite of mechanisms for data access control to PHRs stored in semi trusted servers. To achieve fine-grained and scalable data access control for PHRs, we leverage attribute-based encryption (ABE) techniques to encrypt each patient's PHR file. Different from previous works in secure data outsourcing, we focus on the multiple data owner scenario, and divide the users in the PHR system into multiple security domains that greatly reduces the key management complexity for owners and users. A high degree of patient privacy is guaranteed simultaneously by exploiting multiauthority ABE. Our scheme also enables dynamic modification of access policies or file attributes, supports efficient on-demand user/attribute revocation and break-glass access under emergency scenarios. Extensive analytical and experimental results are presented which show the security, scalability, and efficiency of our proposed scheme.

Index Terms— Personal health records, cloud computing, data privacy, fine-grained access control, attribute-based encryption

1. Introduction

A PHR service allows a patient to create, manage, and control her personal health data in one place through the web, which has made the storage, retrieval, and sharing of the medical information more efficient. Especially, each patient is promised the full control of her medical records and can share her health data with a wide range of users, including healthcare providers, family members or friends. Due to the high cost of building and maintaining specialized data centres, many PHR services are outsourced to or provided by third-party service

providers, for example, Microsoft HealthVault. While it is exciting to have convenient PHR services for everyone, there are many security and privacy risks which could impede its wide adoption. The main concern is about whether the patients could control the sharing of their sensitive personal health information (PHI), especially when they are stored on a third-party server which people may not fully trust. On the one hand, although there exist healthcare regulations such as HIPAA which is recently amended to incorporate business associates, cloud providers are usually not covered entities. On the other hand, due to the high value of the sensitive PHI, the third-party storage servers are often the targets of various malicious behaviours which may lead to exposure of the PHI. As a famous incident, a Department of Veterans Affairs database containing sensitive PHI of 26.5 million military veterans, including their social security numbers and health problems was stolen by an employee who took the data home without authorization [6]. To ensure patient-centric privacy control over their own PHRs, it is essential to have fine-grained data access control mechanisms that work with semi trusted servers.

A feasible and promising approach would be to encrypt the data before outsourcing. Basically, the PHR owner herself should decide how to encrypt her files and to allow which set of users to obtain access to each file. A PHR file should only be available to the users who are given the corresponding decryption key, while remain confidential to the rest of users. Furthermore, the patient shall always retain the right to not only grant, but also revoke access privileges when they feel it is necessary.

2. Existing System

In Existing system, a PHR system model, there are *multiple owners* who may encrypt according to their own ways, possibly using different sets of cryptographic keys. Letting each user obtain keys from every owner who's PHR she wants to read would limit the accessibility since patients are not always online. An alternative is to employ a central authority (CA) to do the key management on behalf of all PHR owners, but this requires too much trust on a single authority (i.e., cause the key escrow problem).

Key escrow (also known as a “**fair**” cryptosystem) is an arrangement in which the keys needed to decrypt encrypted data are held in escrow so that, under certain circumstances, an authorized third party may gain access to those keys. These third parties may include businesses, who may want access to employees' private communications, or governments, who may wish to be able to view the contents of encrypted communications.

We consider a PHR system where there are multiple PHR owners and PHR users. The owners refer to patients who have full control over their own PHR data, i.e., they can create, manage, and delete it. There is a central server belonging to the PHR service provider that stores all the owners' PHRs. The users may come from various aspects; for example, a friend, a caregiver or a researcher. Users access the PHR documents through the server in order to read or write to someone's PHR, and a user can simultaneously have access to multiple owners' data. A typical PHR system uses standard data formats. For example, continuity-of-care (CCR) (based on XML data structure), which is widely used in representative PHR systems including Indivo [27], an open source PHR system adopted by Boston Children's Hospital. Due to the nature of XML, the PHR files are logically organized by their categories in a hierarchical way [8], [20].

3. Related Works

This is mostly related to works in cryptographically enforced access control for outsourced data and attribute based encryption. To realize fine-grained access control, the traditional public key encryption (PKE)-based schemes [8],[10] either incur high key management overhead, or require encrypting multiple copies of a file using different users' keys. To improve upon the scalability of the above solutions, one-to-many encryption methods such as ABE can be used. In Goyal *et al.*'s seminal paper on ABE [11], data are encrypted under a set of attributes so that multiple users who possess proper keys can decrypt. This potentially makes encryption and key management more efficient [12]. A fundamental property of ABE is preventing against user collusion. In addition, the encrypt or is not required to know the ACL. A number of works used ABE to realize fine-grained access control for outsourced data [13], [14], [9], [15]. Especially, there has been an increasing interest in applying ABE to secure electronic healthcare records (EHRs). Recently, Narayan *et al.* proposed an attribute-based infrastructure for EHR systems, where each patient's EHR files are encrypted using a broadcast variant of CP-ABE [16] that allows direct revocation. However, the ciphertext length grows linearly with the number of unrevoked users. In [17], a variant of ABE that allows delegation of access rights is proposed for encrypted EHRs. Ibraimi *et al.* [18] applied ciphertext policy ABE (CP-ABE) [19] to manage the sharing of PHRs, and introduced the concept of social/professional domains. In [20], Akinyele *et al.* investigated using ABE to generate self-protecting EMRs, which can either be stored on cloud servers or cell phones so that EMR could be

accessed when the health provider is offline. However, there are several common drawbacks of the above works. First, they usually assume the use of a single trusted authority (TA) in the system. This not only may create a load bottleneck, but also suffers from the key escrow problem since the TA can access all the encrypted files, opening the door for potential privacy exposure. In addition, it is not practical to delegate all attribute management tasks to one TA, including certifying all users' attributes or roles and generating secret keys. In fact, different organizations usually form their own (sub)domains and become suitable authorities to define and certify different sets of attributes belonging to their (sub)domains (i.e., divide and rule). For example, a professional association would be responsible for certifying medical specialties, while a regional health provider would certify the job ranks of its staffs. Second, there still lacks an efficient and on-demand user revocation mechanism for ABE with the support for dynamic policy updates/changes, which are essential parts of secure PHR sharing. Finally, most of the existing works do not differentiate between the personal and public domains (PUDs), which have different attribute definitions, key management requirements, and scalability issues. Our idea of conceptually dividing the system into two types of domains is similar with that in [18]; however, a key difference is in [18] a single TA is still assumed to govern the whole professional domain.

4. Proposed System

We endeavour to study the patient centric, secure sharing of PHRs stored on semi-trusted servers, and focus on addressing the complicated and challenging key management issues. In order to protect the personal health data stored on a semi-trusted server, we adopt attribute-based encryption (ABE) as the main encryption primitive. Using ABE, access policies are expressed based on the attributes of users or data, which enables a patient to selectively share her PHR among a set of users by encrypting the file under a set of attributes, without the need to know a complete list of users. The complexities per encryption, key generation and decryption are only linear with the number of attributes involved.

MODULES:

- REGISTRATION
- UPLOAD FILES
- ABE FOR FINE-GRAINED DATA ACCESS CONTROL
- SETUP AND KEY DISTRIBUTION
- BREAK-GLASS

REGISTRATION:

In this module, normal registration for the multiple users. There are multiple owners, multiple AAs, and multiple users. The attribute hierarchy of files – leaf nodes is atomic file categories while internal nodes are compound categories. Dark boxes are the categories that a PSD's data reader have access to.

Two ABE systems are involved for each PSD the revocable KP-ABE scheme is adopted for each PUD, our proposed revocable MA-ABE scheme.

- PUD - public domains
- PSD - personal domains
- AA - attribute authority
- MA-ABE - multi-authority ABE
- KP-ABE - key policy ABE

UPLOAD FILES:

In this module, users upload their files with secure key probabilities. The owners upload ABE-encrypted PHR files to the server. Each owner's PHR file encrypted both under a certain fine grained model.

ABE FOR FINE-GRAINED DATA ACCESS CONTROL:

In this module ABE to realize fine-grained access control for outsourced data especially, there has been an increasing interest in applying ABE to secure electronic healthcare records (EHRs). An attribute-based infrastructure for EHR systems, where each patient's EHR files are encrypted using a broadcast variant of CP-ABE that allows direct revocation. However, the cipher text length grows linearly with the number of unrevoked users. In a variant of ABE that allows delegation of access rights is proposed for encrypted EHRs applied cipher text policy ABE (CP-ABE) to manage the sharing of PHRs, and introduced the concept of social/professional domains investigated using ABE to generate self-protecting EMRs, which can either be stored on cloud servers or cell phones so that EMR could be accessed when the health provider is offline.

SETUP AND KEY DISTRIBUTION:

In this module, the system first defines a common universe of data attributes shared by every PSD, such as "basic profile", "medical history", "allergies", and "prescriptions". An emergency attribute is also defined for break-glass access.

Each PHR owner's client application generates its corresponding public/master keys. The public keys can be published via user's profile in an online healthcare social-network (HSN)

There are two ways for distributing secret keys.

- First, when first using the PHR service, a PHR owner can specify the access privilege of a data reader in her PSD, and let her application generate and distribute corresponding key to the latter, in a way resembling invitations in GoogleDoc.
- Second, a reader in PSD could obtain the secret key by sending a request (indicating which types of files she wants to access) to the PHR owner via HSN, and the owner will grant her a subset of requested data types. Based on that, the policy engine of the application automatically derives an access structure, and runs keygen of KP-ABE to generate the user secret key that embeds her access structure.

BREAK-GLASS:

In this module when an emergency happens, the regular access policies may no longer be applicable. To handle this situation, break-glass access is needed to access the victim's PHR. In our framework, each owner's PHR's access right is also delegated to an emergency department ED to prevent from abuse of break-glass option, the emergency staff needs to contact the ED to verify her identity and the emergency situation, and obtain temporary read keys. After the emergency is over, the patient can revoke the emergent access via the ED.

5. Conclusions

In this framework of secure sharing of personal health records in cloud computing. Considering partially trustworthy cloud servers, the patient-centric concept, patients shall have complete control of their own privacy through encrypting their PHR files to allow secure access. The framework addresses unique challenges brought by multiple PHR owners and users, greatly reduced the complexity of key management while enhance the privacy guarantees compared with previous works. ABE to encrypt the PHR data, so that patients can allow access not only by personal users, but also various users from public domains with different professional roles. The existing ABE scheme to handle efficient and on-demand user revocation, and prove its security. Through implementation and simulation, we show that our solution is both scalable and efficient.

References

1. User Interfaces in C#: Windows Forms and Custom Controls by Matthew MacDonald.
2. Applied Microsoft® .NET Framework Programming (Pro-Developer) by Jeffrey Richter.
3. Practical .Net2 and C#2: Harness the Platform, the Language, and the Framework by Patrick Smacchia.
4. Data Communications and Networking, by Behrouz A Forouzan.
5. Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach, by James F. Kurose.

6. Operating System Concepts, by Abraham Silberschatz.
7. M. Armbrust, A. Fox, R. Griffith, A. D. Joseph, R. H. Katz, A. Konwinski, G. Lee, D. A. Patterson, A. Rabkin, I. Stoica, and M. Zaharia, "Above the clouds: A berkeley view of cloud computing," University of California, Berkeley, Tech. Rep. USB-EECS-2009-28, Feb 2009.