



Algorithmic Urbanism: Integrating Computer-Aided Design and Artificial Intelligence for Automated Traffic Infrastructure Optimization

Giorgi Kemashvili; Lia Kisishvili

giorgikemashvili9@gmail.com

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47760/ijcsmc.2026.v15i04.002>

Abstract: This study investigates the application of artificial intelligence (AI) and computational modeling techniques for optimizing urban traffic systems. The objective is to demonstrate how modern intelligent systems can analyze complex transportation environments and automatically improve traffic flow, safety, and efficiency. By integrating computer-aided design (CAD-based modeling) with AI-driven analysis, the proposed approach provides a framework for detecting structural inefficiencies. The results suggest that intelligent systems can significantly reduce human error and enable scalable and adaptive traffic management solutions.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence (AI), urban traffic systems, computational modeling, computer-aided design, infrastructure design, human-computer interaction, safety engineering.

Introduction

The rapid pace of global urbanization has placed an unprecedented strain on existing city infrastructures, transforming once-efficient transit corridors into zones of chronic congestion, elevated carbon emissions, and declining urban livability [1,2]. The recent evolution of big data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) has catalyzed the integration of ‘AI-centric’ transportation frameworks, leading to their extensive adoption across diverse sectors of the mobility industry [3].

As metropolitan areas continue to expand, the density and frequency of vehicular, cyclist, and pedestrian interactions reach a critical threshold where traditional, intuition-based planning becomes fundamentally

insufficient. Conventional traffic planning relies heavily on static models, manual intersection counting, and historical data patterns, which often fail to account for the stochastic, non-linear, and highly volatile nature of modern urban mobility [4]. Consequently, these legacy systems are frequently reactive, addressing bottlenecks and safety hazards only after they have manifested as systemic failures, rather than predicting and preventing them through the application of optimized geometric design. Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and high-fidelity computational modeling now allow for a paradigm shift from this reactive stance to a proactive, data-driven infrastructure management strategy [5].

This study explores a unified framework that utilizes computer-aided design (CAD) environments not merely as passive drafting tools, but as "digital twins"- sophisticated virtual replicas of the physical world used for rigorous, multi-variable AI-driven testing. By treating the urban layout as a dynamic data structure rather than a static map, planners can leverage algorithmic power to evaluate thousands of permutations of a single intersection's geometry before a single stone is laid. This ensures that traffic system designs are both mathematically optimized for throughput and practically viable for diverse urban populations [6,7].

The theoretical foundation of this study is rooted in the concept of "Algorithmic Urbanism," a movement where the physical geometry of the city is refined through iterative simulation and machine learning feedback loops [8].

Under this framework, urban elements - ranging from lane widths and curvature radii to signal timings and pedestrian buffer zones - are no longer fixed entities; instead, they are treated as fluid variables within a complex optimization problem. The integration of these technologies allows for a holistic view of the "City as a System," where a minor change in one intersection's geometry is analyzed for its cascading ripple effects across the entire network.

Despite these technological potentials, current urban design workflows are hindered by a "manual bottleneck" that severely limits the speed of infrastructure adaptation. The precise placement of signals, signage, and lane demarcations is a meticulous task often performed by human engineers using standard CAD tools that lack real-time analytical feedback [9,10]. This manual process is not only time-consuming but is inherently prone to oversight, particularly in high-density zones where multi-modal traffic streams intersect at complex angles [11].

The lack of an automated feedback loop between the initial design phase and the performance simulation phase results in suboptimal layouts that require costly retrofitting and cause prolonged commuter delays [12]. While the integration of AI in transportation is well-documented in the context of predictive analytics and real-time signal timing, there remains a distinct gap in the literature regarding the "pre-construction" architectural phase. Machine learning models, particularly reinforcement learning, have shown significant promise in managing traffic lights to reduce idling time [13], but the industry-standard CAD tools used for the actual documentation of these roads lack the native intelligence required to suggest structural improvements [14]. Studies have frequently prioritized flow optimization - moving cars faster - while largely ignoring the underlying spatial geometry and land-use constraints that dictate those flows in the first place [15]. Study results bridge that critical gap by treating the CAD model as a direct input for a neural network capable of spatial reasoning. By applying this computational lens, the research seeks to find a mathematically perfect equilibrium between the demand for high-speed vehicular throughput and the stringent safety requirements of a modern, multi-modal urban environment [16].

Study aim was to demonstrate synergy between artificial intelligence and computational modeling that can transform urban traffic management from a manual craft into an automated science.

Model Development and CAD-Based Traffic Representation

The traffic models presented in this study were developed using AutoCAD as the primary tool for geometric modeling and spatial representation. The purpose of this modeling phase was to construct a realistic and structured representation of an urban traffic environment that could serve as a foundation for subsequent analysis and optimization.

The modeling process began with the design of the road geometry, including lane structures, road boundaries, and intersection layouts. Particular attention was given to accurately defining lane widths, directional flows, and turning paths in order to reflect realistic traffic conditions. The initial model (Figure 1a) represents a simplified intersection layout with basic lane configuration and vehicle positioning, providing a baseline representation of traffic flow without advanced control elements.

In order to enhance the functional realism of the model, additional infrastructure elements were incorporated. These include pedestrian crossings, traffic signals, lane markings, and directional indicators. The improved model (Figure 1b) illustrates a more developed traffic system, where signalized intersections, crosswalks, and clearly defined lane directions are introduced to regulate vehicle movement and improve safety. This progression from a basic layout to a more structured system demonstrates the iterative nature of the modeling process.

The modeling approach combines both two-dimensional and three-dimensional techniques. The two-dimensional representation defines the traffic layout, including lane markings, directional arrows, and symbolic annotations. These elements are essential for understanding traffic organization and guiding vehicle movement. In contrast, three-dimensional components were used in other parts of the model (such as infrastructure elements including bus stops and street objects) to provide a more realistic representation of the urban environment and improve spatial interpretation.

Vehicle placements were included in both models to simulate real traffic conditions and provide context for evaluating movement patterns and potential interaction points. In the initial configuration (Figure 1a), vehicle movement is less regulated, while in the refined configuration (Figure 1b), the introduction of signals and structured lane guidance enables a more organized and controlled flow of traffic.

From a computational perspective, the resulting models can be interpreted as structured spatial data representations. The components of the traffic system—such as roads, intersections, and control elements - can be conceptually organized into analyzable structures, including nodes and connections within a network. This abstraction supports the application of computational thinking, enabling systematic analysis of traffic behavior and design efficiency.

Overall, the CAD-based modeling process provides a detailed and flexible framework for representing urban traffic systems. The comparison between the initial and refined models demonstrates how incremental design improvements can enhance traffic flow, safety, and structural clarity. These models serve as a reliable foundation for further evaluation and support the application of computational and AI-assisted approaches in the subsequent stages of the study.

This structured representation of the traffic model forms the basis for the computational analysis and optimization approach described in the following section.



Figure 1a. Initial traffic model with basic lane configuration.

7. Chen X, Hou M., Tang T., Kaur A. and Xia F., "Digital Twin Mobility Profiling: A Spatio-Temporal Graph Learning Approach," *2021 IEEE 23rd Int Conf on High Performance Computing & Communications; 7th Int Conf on Data Science & Systems*; Haikou, Hainan, China, 2021, pp. 1178-1187, doi: 10.1109/HPCC-DSS-SmartCity-DependSys53884.2021.00182.
8. Kitchin, R. (2014). The real-time city? Big data and smart urbanism. *GeoJournal*, 79(1), 1–14. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24432611>
9. Autodesk. (2023). *The State of Design & Make Report 2023*. Autodesk, Inc.
10. Eastman, C., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R., Liston, K. (2011). *BIM Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers, and Contractors*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken.
11. Rodrigue J.P. *The Geography of Transport Systems. SIXTH EDITION* (2024), New York: Routledge, 402 pages. ISBN 9781032380407
12. Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). *Digital Twin: Enabling technologies, challenges, and open research*. *IEEE Access*, 8, 108952–108971.
13. Hua Wei, Guanjie Zheng, Huaxiu Yao, and Zhenhui Li. 2018. IntelliLight: A Reinforcement Learning Approach for Intelligent Traffic Light Control. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (KDD '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2496–2505. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3219819.3220096>
14. Volk, R., Stengel, J., & Schultmann, F. (2014). *Building Information Modeling (BIM) for existing buildings*. *Automation in Construction*, 38, 109–127.
15. Wegener, M. (2004). *Overview of land-use transport models* In: *Handbook of Regional Science*. Chapter 9 in David A. Hensher and Kenneth Button (Eds.): *Transport Geography and Spatial Systems*. Handbook 5 of the Handbook in Transport. Pergamon/Elsevier Science, Kidlington, UK, 2004, 127-146.
16. Bing Yu, Haoteng Yin, Zhanxing Zhu. Spatio-Temporal Graph Convolutional Networks: A Deep Learning Framework for Traffic Forecasting *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-18)*3634-3640p. <https://www.ijcai.org/proceedings/2018/0505.pdf>
17. Porta, S., Crucitti, P., & Latora, V. (2006). *The network analysis of urban streets: A primal approach*. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 33(5), 705–725.