Available Online at www.ijcsmc.com

International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing

A Monthly Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology

ISSN 2320–088X
IMPACT FACTOR: 5.258

IJCSMC, Vol. 5, Issue. 3, March 2016, pg.772 – 775

Internet of Things (IoT) an Evolution

Mr. Chaitanya Mankar
Computer Department, Alard College of Engineering & Management, Pune
c_mankar@ymail.com

Mr. Himanshu Mankar
Computer Department, Alard College of Engineering & Management, Pune
himanshusmankar@gmail.com

Mr. Amit Katale
Computer Department, Alard College of Engineering & Management, Pune
kataleamit@gmail.com

Mr. Paresh Jain
Computer Department, Alard College of Engineering & Management, Pune
paresh321@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: This paper shows Internet of Things in a varied environment. Core empowering feature of this idea is the combination of different technologies. In this paper, it describes the crucial technologies evolved and involved in the execution of Web of Things and the main application area where the Internet of Things will make an important role. This paper discusses about the uncluttered matters that are to be represented before the worldwide reception of these technologies including CoAP, TinyOS . There are tons of open matters to discourse. Here it addresses the most appropriate amongst them in detail.

General Terms
Internet of Things

Keywords
TinyOS, CoAP, Ubiquitous Computsing, Contiki, RESTful services

1. INTRODUCTION

The term (IoT) Internet of Things has been in trend for somewhat a few years. In this situation, it is achieving ground with the development of advanced wireless technology. The simple concept of this notion is the existence of a range of objects – for instance NFC, RFID, sensors, mobile phones, actuators, etc. which, from distinctive addressing structures, are capable to communicate with each other.[2]

When IoT concept came into being, (RFID) Radio-frequency Identification seem like to be essential for Internet of Things (WIKIPEDIA, 2013), but in consequence there are a lot of fresh technologies accessible in the market. Technologies like Near Field Communication (NFC), Vehicular-to-Vehicular communication (V2V), RFID and Machine-to-Machine Communication (M2M) are present in the markets that are used to apply the modern thought of IoT.

On well-known acceptance of various technologies of IoT, the life of the impending user can turn into very comfy and harmless. According to fact of personal use, the most predictable influence of IoT is observable in native scope. Just like, assisted living, smart homes, smart cars etc. are dormant areas in which IoT assistances in broadening the living standard of a single person. According to business user viewpoint, the influence of this cool technology is perceptible in service and manufacturing industry like more production, higher quality and improved services.

2. Literature Survey

2.1 2010- Internet of Things: A Survey AUTHORS: Luigi Atzori, Antonio lera, Giacomo Morabito,

[2]This paper points the Internet of Things. Foremost allowing aspect of this promising hypothesis is the combination of quite a few technologies and transport network elucidations. Identification and tracing technologies, wired and actuator networks and wireless sensor, distributed intelligence and enhanced communication protocols (common with the Next Generation Internet), for insolent objects are just the most significant. Such as one can simply imagine, several thoughtful contribution to the headway of the Internet of Things must certainly be the product of coactive deeds led in diverse fields of knowledge, for instance social science, electronics, informatics and telecommunications. In that difficult consequence, this survey is focused on those who need to tackle this composite strictness and contribute to its progress. Various visions of this Internet of Things prototype are reported and allowing technologies revised.

Aforementioned to our work, maximum work has been done on IoT for nearly two decagon years. The ultimate idea stanches from the late 1980s as pervasive computing [9] and ubiquitous computing. The loction Internet of Things (IoT) came into sight in the late 1990s, and has been meticulously studied from numerous facets as conferred by Atzori et al in [2].

2.2 2005- T-Engine: Japan's Ubiquitous Computing Architecture Is Ready for Prime Tim

AUTHORS: Jan Krikke

Japan is gently putting itself for the next stage in digital technology: ubiquitous computing. A signal of things to originate is T-Engine, disputably the most advanced ubiquitous computing stand in the world. T-Engine empowers the dispersal of software resources, containing middleware technologically advanced on T-Kernel, its dense, real-time operating system. Platform moreover features systemize hardware and inviolable network security. T-Engine empowers developers to speedily construct ubiquitous computing elucidations by means of off-the-shelf elements. From them are four standard T-Engine panels of wavering dimensions for numerous application areas: Nano T-Engine, Standard T-Engine, Pico T-Engine and Micro T-Engine.

2.3 2005- TinyOS: An Operating System for Sensor Networks

AUTHORS: P. Levis, S. Madden, J. Polastre, R. Szewczyk, K. Whitehouse, A. Woo, D. Gay, J. Hill, M. Welsh, E. Brewer, and D. Culler

This paper gives TinyOS, a pliable, Model-oriented operating system for sensor networks that form a core piece of atmospheric intelligence systems. That Sensor networks contains of (theoretically) many thousands petite, low-power nodules, each of which attain simultaneous, responsive programs that essentially function with power constraints and formidable memory. The sensor network challenges of event-centric concurrent applications, low-power operation and limited resources drive the design of TinyOS. Solution in this paper integrates pliable, fine-grain modules with an execution model that stand complex nonetheless safe synchronized processes. TinyOS meets up with these challenges in good health and has turn out to be the stage of choice for sensor network exploration; it is in practice by more than a hundred groups worldwide, and sustains a wide-ranging applications and research topics. Here, it provides a qualifiable and quantifiable appraisal of the system, displaying that it carry complex, parallel programs with efficient (numerous applications come within 16KB of memory, and the basic OS is 400 bytes) and very low-slung memory necessities, low-power procedures. It presents the experiences with TinyOS as a stage for sensor network origination and applications.

TinyOS [4] is a service-oriented operating system platform for wireless sensor nodules. It is modularized to be a squeezed (less than 400 bytes) to be utilized on resource restricted sensor network nodules like [16] Mica with 128KB of flash ROM and 4KB of RAM. As a consequence of the capability for such resource-restricted nodules, TinyOS has been utilized by numerous examiners as the simple platform for sensor network, and ample middleware and countless applications have been technologically advanced up until at this time. That embrace but not restricted to networking (e.g., [5]), database (e.g., [6]), and security (e.g., [7], [8]), all of them are beneficial in building IoT applications on sensor nodules. Alike talk can be prepared on Contiki [3], that was progressed to be as a sensor network operating system and currently disseminated as an openly accessed operating system for the IoT. This is equipped with so many middleware and good libraries for the IoT like [11], those are suitable for IoT application creation. Even though Contiki make available multi-thread provision called protothread, its processes are very bounded in limit and surplus voluminous important characteristics that model-oriented operating systems ensure.

2.4 2004- Contiki- a Light weight and Flexible Operating System for Tiny Networked Sensors

AUTHORS: Adam Dunkels, Bjo¨rn Gro¨nvall, Thiemo Voigt,

Wireless sensor networks are self-possessed of many tiny schmoosed devices that interconnect untethered. For outsized networks it is essential to download code at run-time into the network. In this paper there is Contiki, a superficial operating system with support for run-time replacement and loading of separate services and programs. Contiki is made nearby an action-driven kernel but arranges for optional preemptive multithreading which can be exerted to singular processes. Here in this paper, it shows that run-time loading and unloading is achievable in a resource restricted environment, however keeping the immoral system superficial and squeezed.

2.5 1995- Constrained Application Protocol for Low Power Embedded Networks: A Survey

AUTHORS: Berta Carballido Villaverd , Dirk Pesch , Rodolfo De Paz Alberola, Szymon Fedor

IPv6 will compel it probable to arrange for Internet connectivity to whichever device. In the same line, Web technologies will make managing, visualizing and communicating any data given by these devices eye-catching to the application developers and end users. Utmost all new devices coupled to this Web of Things (WoT) will be wirelessly connected and entrenched. Though, recent Web technologies, advanced with great devices in observance, will not be well-matched for this type of environs. Just to style the WoT realism for low power entrenched networks, expertized protocols that deliberate the memory, processing and energy restrictions of these devices are essentially intended. The IETF in recent times has formed the CoRE group whose main objective has been evolving a RESTful application layer protocol for communications within entrenched wireless networks called as CoAP(Constrained Application Protocol). This paper reviews recent exploration hard work on the CoAP for low power entrenched networks..

3. Proposed Methodology

This paper puts forward the uID-CoAP architecture, a novel IoT outline that goals to offer a explanation for this matter. That is, intended a new manner to let the obtainable embedded systems be combined into the IoT network. For this tenacity, this paper first presents the IoT network architecture build of two obtainable technologies: constrained application protocol (CoAP) and ubiquitous ID (uID) architecture. The superfluous idea is to build an IoT network made up of RESTful services, with the help of semantic knowledge backend provided as the uID database. This semantic database is essential for the embedded appliance nodes to know how they can work together in cooperation. For simple sensor network nodes, simply sending data to or accepting requests from base stations would suffice, but for household embedded appliances, decision-making process on each node would become more complex. For this purpose, the uID database system provides an excellent solution for knowledge management required in IoT, by providing a unique identifier (called ucode) that is separate from network addresses. In addition, we created a new software framework that helps manufacturers to append IoT functionalities on top of existing embedded systems. Unlike the prior work that targeted on simple sensor nodes, the proposed framework is primarily designed for consumer appliances. This makes the uID-CoAP software different from other IoT node frameworks from several aspects. Most notably, our framework is designed to provide an intuitive, consistent, and easy-to-use API for programmers of embedded systems so that the cost of adding IoT functions to their products is reduced. We have designed our framework on top of T-Kernel ([4], [5], [6]), a real-time operating system based on the design of Industrial TRON (ITRON) [7] used thoroughly as one of the de facto standards of embedded operating systems. Our framework is designed as a middleware on top of this operating system, which adds network communication functionalities required for the IoT. In our design, we decided to provide not only the simple low-level API, like send and receive, but also application layer API to minimize the burden of application developers. Our API supports RESTful API [8] over CoAP (Constrained Application Protocol) [9], which allows engineers to add RESTful service on their products easily. In order to evaluate the uID-CoAP architecture, we have created HEMS (home energy management system) application on this framework as a case study. Evaluation results showed that our framework can be effectively used to construct practical IoT applications over embedded appliances with a small programming effort.

4. CONCLUSION

The internet has drastically changed the way we lived, as in scenario all the interaction is done over the internet. The IoT has the potential to add a new dimension to this process by enabling communication between smart objects. IoT should be considered as a part of future internet as everything is going to be connected in a network so that objects can interact with each other, but still there are lots of issues which are to be solved to make this a reality. Lot of research is required in this field, once implemented successfully; the quality of life is improved because of the reduction of the effort made by humans on unimportant things. In this paper, we presented the technologies and its specification that can be used to make Internet of Things a reality. After that, we state some good examples where Internet of Things is of great use, and at last we discuss some open issues which are still to be solved before the wide acceptance of this technology.

REFERENCES

[1] Mark Weiser “The Computer for the 21st Century” 1999
[2] Shashank Agrawal1, Dario Vieira1 “A survey on Internet of Thing” 2013
[3] Luigi Atzori, Antonio lera ,Giacomo Morabito“Internet of Things: A Survey” 2010
[4] P. Levis, S. Madden, J. Polastre, R. Szewczyk, K. Whitehouse, A. Woo, D. Gay, J. Hill, M. Welsh, E. Brewer, and D. Culler “TinyOS: An Operating System for Sensor Networks” 2005

[5] Rodrigo Roman and Javier Lopez “Integrating Wireless Sensor Networks and the Internet: A Security Analysis “Department of Computer Science, University of Malaga, Spain 2009.
[6] SAMUEL R. MADDEN , MICHAEL J. FRANKLIN and JOSEPH M. HELLERSTEIN “TinyDB: An Acquisitional Query Processing System for Sensor Networks” 2005.
[7] Adrian Perrig, Robert Szewczyk, Victor Wen, David Culler, J. D. Tygar “SPINS: Security Protocols for Sensor Networks” Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences University of California, Berkeley 2001.
[8] Chris Karlof, Naveen Sastry and David Wagner “TinySec: A Link Layer Security Architecture for Wireless Sensor Networks”2004
[9] Jan Krikke “T-Engine: Japan’s Ubiquitous Computing Architecture Is Ready for Prime Time” 2005
[10] Adam Dunkels,Bjo¨rn Gro¨nvall,ThiemoVoigt “Contiki-a Light weight and Flexible Operating System for Tiny Networked Sensors” 2004
[11] Matthias Kovatsch , Simon Duquennoy, Adam Dunkels “A Low-Power CoAP for Contiki” 2011
[12]https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constrained_Application_Protocol “Constrained Application Protocol”
[13] Berta Carballido Villaverd , Dirk Pesch , Rodolfo De Paz Alberola, Szymon Fedor “Constrained Application Protocol for Low Power Embedded Networks: A Survey” 2012
[14] Leonard Richardson and Sam Rub “RESTful Web Services”
[15] Matthias Kovatsch “Towards an End-to-End Web Experience in the Internet of Things”2012
[16] Jason L. Hill David E. Culler University of California, Berkeley “MICA: A WIRELESS PLATFORM FOR DEEPLY EMBEDDED NETWORKS”2002
[17] Takeshi Yashiro, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Noboru Koshizuka, and Ken Sakamura “An Internet of Things (IoT) Architecture for Embedded Appliance”2013

