Available Online at www.ijcsmc.com

International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing

A Monthly Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology

ISSN 2320–088X

IJCSMC, Vol. 2, Issue. 11, November 2013, pg.12 – 17

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT USING DOMINANT BRIGHTNESS LEVEL ANALYSIS ADAPTIVE INTENSITY TRANSFORM

Ramesh E[1], Hemantha Reddy G.R.V[2]

[1](Department of ECE, MITS, Madanapalle, AP, India)

[2](Asst. Professor, Department of ECE, MITS, Madanapalle, AP, India)

Abstract: - Contrast enhancement approach is mainly improves the image quality, Adding contrast usually adds "pop" and makes an image look vibrant while decreasing contrast can makes image dull. Contrast is defined as the separation between the darkest and brightest areas of the image. Increase contrast and you increase the separation between dark and bright, making shadows darker and highlights brighter. Decrease contrast and you bring the shadows up and highlights down to make them closer to one another. If we consider histogram equalization for a remote sensing images it improves the image quality, but it cannot preserve edge details exhibit in low and high intensity layers to achieve this goal we proposing the novel contrast enhancement method for remote sensing images using dominant brightness level analysis and adaptive intensity transform. Contrast enhancement approach based on dominant brightness level analysis adaptive intensity transform for remote sensing images. This algorithm computes the brightness- adaptive intensity transform function using the low frequency luminance component in discrete wavelet domain transform and transfer intensity values according to the transfer function. In this method discrete wavelet transform (DWT) input image decomposes into set of band limited components called HH, HL, LH and LL. LL sub band has illumination of low-,middle-,high-intensity layers using the log average luminance. Adaptive intensity transfer function estimation using the knee transfer function, gamma adjustment function based on the dominant brightness level of each layer. After this process the enhanced image obtained by using inverse DWT.

I. INTRODUCTION

For several decades, remote sensing images have played an important role in many fields such as meteorology, agriculture, geology, education, etc. As the rising demand for high-quality remote sensing images, contrast enhancement techniques are required for better visual perception and color reproduction. Histogram equalization has been the most popular approach to enhancing the contrast in various application areas such as medical image processing, object tracking, speech recognition, etc. HE-based methods cannot, however, maintain average brightness level, which may result in either under- or oversaturation in the processed image. For overcoming these problems, bi-histogram equalization and dualistic sub image HE methods have been proposed by using decomposition of two sub histograms. For further improvement, the recursive mean-separate HE method iteratively

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved

performs the BHE and produces separately equalized sub histograms. However, the optimal contrast enhancement cannot be achieved since iterations converge to null processing. Recently, the gain-controllable clipped HE has been proposed by Kim and Paik the GC-CHE method controls the gain and performs clipped HE for preserving the brightness. Demirel have also proposed a modified HE method which is based on the singular-value decomposition of the LL sub band of the discrete wavelet transform. In spite of the improved contrast of the image, this method tends to distort image details in low- and high-intensity regions. In remote sensing images, the common artifacts caused by existing contrast enhancement methods, such as drifting brightness, saturation, and distorted details, need to be minimized because pieces of important information are widespread throughout the image in the sense of both spatial locations and intensity levels. For this reason, enhancement algorithms for

Satellite images not only improve the contrast but also minimize pixel distortion in the low- and high-intensity regions. To achieve this goal, we present a novel contrast enhancement for remote sensing images using dominant brightness level analysis and adaptive intensity transformation.

II. BLOCK DIAGRAM



III. DWT DECOMPOSITION

In this method discrete wavelet transform input image decomposes into set of band limited components called HH, HL, LH and LL. LL sub band has illumination of low, middle and high intensity layers using the log average luminance. Adaptive intensity transfer function estimation using the knee transfer function, gamma adjustment function based on the dominant brightness level of each layer. After this process the enhanced image obtained by using inverse DWT. This algorithm computes the brightness- adaptive intensity transform function using the low frequency luminance component in discrete wavelet domain transform and transfer intensity values according to the transfer function

ANALYSIS OF DOMINANT BRIGHTNESS LEVEL

In spite of increasing demand for enhancing remote sensing images, existing histogram-based contrast enhancement methods cannot preserve edge details and exhibit saturation artifacts in low and high-intensity regions. In this section, we present a novel contrast enhancement algorithm for remote sensing images using dominant brightness level-based adaptive intensity transformation If we do not consider spatially varying intensity distributions, the correspondingly contrast-enhanced images may have intensity distortion and lose image details in some regions. For

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved

overcoming these problems, we decompose the input image into multiple layers of single dominant brightness levels. To use the low-frequency luminance components, we perform the DWT on the input remote sensing image and then estimate the dominant brightness level using the log-average luminance in the LL sub band. Since high-intensity values are dominant in the bright region, and vice versa, the dominant brightness at the position (*x, y*) is computed as

$$D(x, y) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{NL}\sum_{(x,y)\in S}\{logL(x, y) + \varepsilon\}\right)$$

Where *S* represents a rectangular region encompassing (*x, y*),*L*(*x, y*) represents the pixel intensity at (*x, y*), *NL* presents the total number of pixels in *S*, and ε represents a sufficiently small constant that prevents the log function from diverging to negative infinity The decomposed low-, middle-, and high-intensity layers are shown in Fig. 4. The low-intensity layer has the dominant brightness lower than the pre-specified low bound. The high intensity layer is determined in the similar manner with the pre-specified high bound, and the middle-intensity layer has the dominant brightness in between low and high bounds. The normalized dominant brightness varies from zero to one



Fig1. Image decomposition based on the dominant brightness levels and contrast enhancement results. (a) Original image. (b) Dominant intensity analysis. (c) Enhanced result image. (d–f) Low-, middle-, and high-intensity layers. (g–i) Enhanced low-, middle-, and high-intensity layers

IV. ADAPTIVE INTENSITY TRANSFORMATION

Adaptive intensity transform function generated Based on the dominant brightness in each decomposed layer since remote sensing images have spatially varying intensity distributions; we estimate the optimal transfer function in each brightness range for adaptive contrast enhancement. The adaptive transfer function is estimated by using the knee transfer and the gamma adjustment functions. For the global contrast enhancement, the knee transfer function stretches the low-intensity range by determining knee points according to the dominant brightness of each layer. More specifically, in the low-intensity layer, a single knee point is computed as

$$Pl = bl + wl(bl - ml)$$

where *bl* represents the low bound, *wl* represents the tuning parameter, and *ml* represents the mean of brightness in the low intensity layer.

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved

For the high-intensity layer, the corresponding knee point is computed as

$$Ph = bh - wh(bh - mh)$$

Where *bh* represents the high bound, *wh* represents the tuning parameter, and *mh* represents the mean brightness in the high intensity layer.

In the middle-intensity layer, two knee points are computed as

$$Pml = bl - wm(bml - mm) + (Pl - Ph)$$

$$Pmh = bh + wm(bmh - mm) + (Pl - Ph)$$

Where *wm* represents the tuning parameter and *mm* represents the mean brightness in the middle-intensity layer.



Fig.2 Image of Group-University of Granada, 6(a) Original image from the Computer Vision Group-University of Granada image database ; contrast-enhanced images by using (b) the standard HE, (c) RMSHE, (d) GC-CHE, (e) Demirel's, and (f) the proposed methods

Since the knee transfer function tends to distort image details in the low- and high-intensity layers, additional compensation is performed using the gamma adjustment function. The gamma adjustment function is modified from the original version by scaling and translation to incorporate the knee transfer function as

$$G_k(L) = \left\{(L/M_k)^{1/\acute{\Gamma}} - (1-(L/M_k))^{1/\acute{\Gamma}} + 1\right\}, \text{ for } k€\{l,m,h\}$$

where *M* represents the size of each section intensity range, such as *Ml* = *bl*, *Mm* = *bh* − *bl*, and *Mh* = 1− *bh*, *L* represents the intensity value, and γ represents the prespecified constant. The prespecified constant γ can be used to adjust the local image contrast. As γ increases, the resulting image is saturated around $b_l/2$, $b_h - b_l/2$, and $1 - b_h/2$. Therefore, the γ value is selected by computing maximum values of adaptive transfer function in ranges $\{0 \leq L <$

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved

$(b_l/2)\}$, $\{b_l \le L < (b_h - b_l/2)\}$, and $\{b_h \le L < (1 - b_h/2)\}$, which are smaller than $b_l/2$, $b_h - b_l/2$, and $1 - b_h/2$, respectively.

The proposed adaptive transfer function is obtained by combining the knee transfer function and the modified gamma adjustment function as shown in Fig. 2(b). Three intensity transformed layers by using the adaptive intensity transfer function

are fused to make the resulting contrast-enhanced image in the wavelet domain. We extract most significant two bits from the low, middle, and high-intensity layers for generating the weighting map, and we compute the sum of the two-bit values in each layer. We select two weighting maps that have two largest sums



Fig3.image from KARI , (a) Original satellite image from Korea Aerospace Research Institute (KARI); contrast-enhanced images by using (b) the standard HE, (c) RMSHE, (d) GC-CHE, (e) Demirel's, and (f) the proposed methods

V. CONCLUSION

The project presented the contrast enhancement approach based on dominant brightness level analysis and adaptive intensity transformation for remote sensing images. This algorithm computed brightness-adaptive intensity transfer functions using the low-frequency luminance component in the wavelet domain and transforms intensity values according to the transfer function gamma adjustment function based on the dominant brightness level of each layer. This method proved that an enhances the low quality images with less image distortion and preserves the edge details and performance parameters will be evaluated for enhanced image.

REFERENCES

1. R. Gonzalez and R. Woods, Digital Image Processing, 3rd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2007.

2. Y. Kim, "Contrast enhancement using brightness preserving bi-histogram equalization," IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Feb. 1997.

3. Y. Wan, Q. Chen, and B. M. Zhang, "Image enhancement based on equal area dualistic sub-image histogram equalization method," IEEE Trans. Consum Electron, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 68–75, Feb. 1999.

4. S. Chen and A. Ramli, "Contrast enhancement using recursive mean separate histogram equalization for scalable brightness preservation,"IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron. vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1301–1309, Nov. 2003.

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved

5. T. Kim and J. Paik, "Adaptive contrast enhancement using gain controllable clipped histogram equalization," IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1803–1810, Nov. 2008.
6. H. Demirel, C. Ozcinar, and G. Anbarjafari, "Satellite image contrast enhancement using discrete wavelet transform and singular value decomposition," IEEE Geosci, Reomte Sens. Lett, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 3333–337, Apr. 2010.

© 2013, IJCSMC All Rights Reserved