Available Online at <u>www.ijcsmc.com</u>

International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing

A Monthly Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology



IJCSMC, Vol. 3, Issue. 9, September 2014, pg.96 – 104

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Analysis of Student Behavior in Teacher's Evaluation: Based on Time Spent Method

Safi Ullah Hidayat¹, Muhammad Ramzan Talib²

^{1, 2}Department of Computer Science, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract

Teacher evaluation is a method of assessing an instructor's effectiveness in the classroom. The main purpose of the teacher evaluation is to: judge student learning level, improving it, measures the performance of individual teacher and guides the teacher as they reflect and improve the effectiveness of the teaching. True and accurate teacher's evaluation by the student places an important role in education department but unluckily the purpose of teacher evaluation is not fulfilled due to student immature attitude in teacher's evaluation. In this paper we have discussed that how to: identify the wrong teacher's evaluation by the student and student behavior in teacher's evaluation by the student.

I. Introduction:

Teacher evaluation is a method of assessing an instructor's effectiveness in the classroom. True and accurate teacher's evaluation places an important role in education department because each educational institute wants to improve: student learning level, teacher's professional ethics and teacher guidance [1] but unluckily the purpose of teacher evaluation is not fulfilled due to student immature attitude in teacher evaluation. According to our oral survey with the students of different universities: a student may not grade his teacher accurately due to following reasons:

ISSN 2320-088X

- 1. It is natural fact that we personally like or dislike anybody but when we are talking about professional teaching ethics then student's personal liking and disliking may create some degree of bias because of teacher's age, gender, ethnic/economic background and social relations.
- 2. A student wants to submit his/her teacher's evaluation performa without consuming required time because he/she may be in hurry
- 3. A student may confuse from similar nature questions and may check a grade that is totally different from his point of view.
- 4. Student may not be interested in teacher's evaluation if he/she thinks: it is formality.

There may be four different possibilities to fill the teacher evaluation performa by the student:

- a) Teacher is good and has very good teaching skills and student grade him/her excellent.
- b) Teacher is not good as he/she may: have lake of confidence, be a new teacher or have not good teaching skills. And student does not grade him/her: excellent or as an average teacher.
- c) Teacher is good in his/her professional skills but student grade him/her as poor skills.
- d) The evaluating teacher has not proper teaching skills but student grades him/her as excellent grade.

II. Methodology:

In this paper we have supposed a web based teacher's evaluation system where each question of teacher's evaluation performa: has time quantum and student has five options: A – Strongly Agree, B – Agree, C – Uncertain (Considering as space option), D – Disagree and E – Strongly Disagree to grade his /her teacher. Similarly Teacher's Evaluation performa has time quantum which is "over all time" quantum of all questions of the performa.

Safi Ullah Hidayat et al, International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, Vol.3 Issue.9, September-2014, pg. 96-104

Teacher Evaluation Performa PROGRESS (1/4)
2. The Instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject
Strongly Agree
Agree Uncertain
Disagree
Strongly Disagree
< EACKWARD FORWARD >

Figure 1.1: Teacher Evaluation Performa

The purposed system generates three types of outputs: Student Generated Evaluation Copy, System Generated Evaluation Copy and shows the behavior of the student.

Student Generated Evaluation Copy:

It is a copy of performa which is filled by the student.

System Generated Evaluation Copy:

It is a copy of performa that is generated by the system after analyzing the each question of student generated copy. The efficiency of the student in each question is calculated by the using the simple percentage formula:

((Spent Time by the student on each question/ Assigned Time for the question)*100).

Now when student select his/her asked question's option then system analyzes time quantum of that particular question and on the bases of results from the given above formula system selects an option on system generated copy which may or may be different from student selected option.

Now let for the sake of discussion admin (Manager of Teacher's Evaluation) had set minimum 5 seconds to answer the question then student's selection method creates four cases:

Case 1:

Student consumes more than 80% of time then system will also select student selected option as there are good chances that student has answered the question after understanding the statement. Well in this case

the problem is: what will happen if student consumes more than 100% of time? Suppose admin had set 3 seconds for a question but student consumes 5 seconds for that question which is 166.7% of assigned time in this case system will select student selected option and will consider that student has consume 100% time because for predicting student performance in teacher's evaluation the sum of all question's percentage is calculated and more than 100% of time may generate lot of difference in calculations.

Case 2:

Student consumes less than 80% of time but more than 60% of time then system will select one option behind from student selected option. Let in case-2 student has selected option A then system will select option B or if student has selected option E then system will select option D because of space attraction. Now question is if student has selected option C then which option will be selected by the system as we are considering option C as a space option? Well in this case system will also select option C because of space attraction: as in case-2 we are considering minimum 60% of time mean majority of time is in the interested side of space so instead of discarding we select option C as there are little chances that student has answer the question after understanding statement.

Case 3:

If student uses less than 60% but more than 40% of time then system will select space option or will not select any option of that particular question because there are rare chances that student has answer the question after understanding the statement.

In this situation there are two further cases:

Case 3.1: if student has selected option A or E then system will select option C.

Case 3.2: student has selected option B or D then system will analyze time quantum further deeply: if student has consumed more than 50% of time then system will select option C because the majority of time is in the student interested area or if student has used less than 50% of time then system will not select any option.

Case 4:

If student consume less than 40 percent of time then system will not select any option because it is not possible to understand the statement in this time limit.

III. Results and Discussions:

Student Is Responsible:

A student is called responsible if:

- He/she consumes his/her whole "over-all-time" Quantum.
- System generated copy is as it is student generated copy.

Department > View Stu	ident Behavior > Data Mining	ı > 01
Result: Student is Respo	sible	
Stud	lent Generated Copy	System Generated Copy
	ABCDE	A B C D E
Question: 1	0000	Question: 1
Question: 2	0000	Question: 2
Question: 3	0000	Question: 3
Question: 4	0000	Question: 4
Question: 5	0000	Question: 5
Question: 6	0000	Question: 6
Question: 7	00000	Question: 7
Question: 8	00000	Question: 8
Question: 9	0000	Question: 9
Question: 10	0000	Question: 10
Question: 11	0000	Question: 11
Question: 12	0000	Question: 12
Question: 13	00000	Question: 13 🔮 🔵
Question: 14	00000	Question: 14

Figure 1.2: Responsible Student.

Student Is Fair:

A student is fair in Teacher's evaluation if:

- He/she uses full "over-all-time" Quantum.
- Difference between system generated evaluation copy and student generated evaluation copy is not more than 10% but less than 15 %.

epartment > View Stu	dent Behavior > Data Minin	ig > 07	
Result: Student is Fair			
Stud	lent Generated Copy	Stude	ent Generated Copy
Question: 1		Question: 1	
Question: 2	00000	Question: 2	00000
Question: 3	0000	Question: 3	00000
Question: 4	00000	Question: 4	00000
Question: 5	00000	Question: 5	00000
Question: 6	0000	Question: 6	00000
Question: 7	00000	Question: 7	⊘ 0000
Question: 8	00000	Question: 8	00000
Question: 9	0000	Question: 9	00000
Question: 10	0000	Question: 10	00000
Question: 11	00000	Question: 11	00000
Question: 12	00000	Question: 12	00000
Question: 13	❷0000	Question: 13	0000
Question: 14	0000	Question: 14	00000

Figure 1.3: Student Is Fair In Evaluation.

Student is Confused:

A student is said to be confused by the system if:

- (s)he consumes more than 65% of "over-all-time" Quantum
- System generated evaluation copy and student generated evaluation copy is 25% to 40% different.

Department > View Stu	dent Behavior > Data Mining	g > 05	
Result: Student is Confus	ed		
Stud	ent Generated Copy	Syste	em Generated Copy
	ABCDE		ABCDE
Question: 1	0000	Question: 1	9 0000
Question: 2	00000	Question: 2	00000
Question: 3	00000	Question: 3	00000
Question: 4	0000	Question: 4	00000
Question: 5	0000	Question: 5	00000
Question: 6	00000	Question: 6	
Question: 7	0000	Question: 7	0000
Question: 8	00000	Question: 8	00000
Question: 9	00000	Question: 9	
Question: 10	00000	Question: 10	00000
Question: 11	0000	Question: 11	00000
Question: 12	0000	Question: 12	00000
Question: 13	0000	Question: 13	0000
Question: 14	00000	Question: 14	00000

Figure 1.4: student is confused in evaluation.

Student is in hurry:

System will mark a student as in hurry if:

- (s)he does not consume his/her "over-all-time" quantum
- More than 75% difference between system generated evaluation copy and student generated evaluation copy.

Result: Student is in hur	Ŋ		
Stud	lent Generated Copy	Sys	tem Generated Copy
Question: 1	A B C D E	Question: 1	
Question: 2	0000	Question: 2	00000
Question: 3	0000	Question: 3	00000
Question: 4	0000	Question: 4	00000
Question: 5	00000	Question: 5	00000
Question: 6		Question: 6	
Question: 8		Question: 8	
Question: 9	00000	Question: 9	00000
Question: 10	00000	Question: 10	00000
Question: 11	0000	Question: 11	00000
Question: 12	$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \oslash \bigcirc \bigcirc$	Question: 12	00000
Question: 13	00000	Question: 13	0000 C
Question: 14	<0000	Question: 14	00000

Figure 1.5: Student is in hurry

IV. Conclusions:

Some time student does not evaluate teacher's performance due his/her immature behavior in teacher's evaluation. In this paper it is discussed that it is possible to identify the wrong grading and predicting student behavior in teacher's evaluation by placing time quantum (required time for understanding the question) in each question. System generated evaluation copy is achieved after analyzing student generated evaluation copy based on time spent on each question. Then by comparing: student generated evaluation copy, system generated evaluation copy and overall time quantum of teacher's evaluation performa student behavior is achieved. But there is still more work needs to be done in this area.

References

 The Teaching Assessment and Evaluation Guide[®] is published by the Senate Committee on Teaching and Learning (SCOTL), York University www.yorku.ca/secretariat/senate/committees/scotl/ (revised January 2002)